To: Mosher, Eric[Mosher.Eric@epa.gov]

From: Daly, Eric

Sent: Tue 8/30/2016 4:32:38 PM

Subject: RE: Niagara AM



OK. We will regroup next week. I have done my best to continue work with the funding we have. Please note, this method is causing us to spend more (cubic yard boxes, super sacs, staging in containers that will have to be transferred into other containers (double work), taking breaks). I have no more breaks scheduled until Thanksgiving and then after that Christmas. Please also refer to the calendar to show when I have planned weeks off with alternate OSC scheduled (Jimenez). He has already had to shave off some days and maybe not taking a tour in late October. This needs to be addressed and planned out if someone else is jumping into the mix. If needed, Carl would be a good alternate since he doesn't have site and has rad experience working Wolff with me. I have not reached out to him because I do not know your plan with Jimenez.

Thanks

From: Mosher, Eric

Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 12:27 PM **To:** Daly, Eric <Daly, Eric@epa.gov>

To. Daily, Elic Daily. Elic@epa.gc

Subject: RE: Niagara AM

It may be a quick process. Tito seems to want to move these along. That is why he is trying to coordinate with the regions to get a heads up of what is coming so they can prepare. We have to wait for Walter to get back in the office on Sep 6th. He gave specific directions for this site before he left

Regards,

Eric

From: Daly, Eric

Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 12:19 PM **To:** Mosher, Eric < <u>Mosher.Eric@epa.gov</u>>

Subject: RE: Niagara AM

Thanks. I assume this will take weeks if not a month due to the precedence to our region and money amount. What's the plan with the verbal and the funding I need to award the T&D bid next week?

From: Mosher, Eric

Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 11:51 AM

To: Daly, Eric < Daly. Eric @epa.gov >

Subject: RE: Niagara AM

Eric,

I understand your frustration, but there were reasons for the delay which mostly centered around people being out of the office for an extended time. We are working with HQ OEM to make sure the AM review gets in the queue.

Regards,

Eric M

From: Daly, Eric

Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 11:25 AM **To:** Mosher, Eric < <u>Mosher.Eric@epa.gov</u>>

Subject: FW: Niagara AM

Good Morning:

Please see below. I just would like you to note that this action memo was done with review on August 16th. Two weeks later they are still passing it back and forth. I sent the group the Dan Harkay example of the To/From/Thru cover page on August 16th. Several action memos have went out this way (Asbestos). I am not sure why it takes two weeks to update a cover page and send out. This along with the month and a half hold on RAB response are the reasons we need another verbal.

From: Wilson, Eric

Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 11:15 AM

To: Paulikas, Theresa M. <Paulikas.Terry@epa.gov>

Cc: Harkay, Dan <Harkay.Dan@epa.gov>; Bilgrav, Susanne <Bilgrav.Susanne@epa.gov>;

Daly, Eric <<u>Daly.Eric@epa.gov</u>> **Subject:** FW: Niagara AM

Terry,

Attached is the Niagara Falls Boulevard Action Memo which includes Joe's changes as well as the TO/FROM/THRU changes needed due to the \$6M+ ceiling. Please check the page breaks and make the other changes to the electronic version and package that we discussed. Please send the revised electronic version to Dan Harkay so that he can forward to HQ for review and cc Sue B. and me.

Thanks,

Eric

From: Harkay, Dan

Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 10:38 AM To: Wilson, Eric < Wilson, EricJ@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: Niagara AM

Here it is

From: Bilgrav, Susanne

Sent: Friday, August 26, 2016 3:50 PM
To: Harkay, Dan < Harkay. Dan@epa.gov>

Subject: Niagara AM

Bro,

This IS the final version. Changed the headings from what Eric Daly sent. Has Joe's comments, but not sure about E's.

Thanks Bro.

 \odot